UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/663,382	09/15/2003	Joerg Beringer	09282.0013-00000	1611
	22852 7590 10/19/2007 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			EXAMINER	
	LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413		NGUYEN, VAN KIM T		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2152	
		1		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				10/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		$m\sim$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	10/663,382	JOERG BERINGER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Van Kim T. Nguyen	2152				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ju	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 July 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•				
Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion Noved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal	Date				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>July 13, 2007</u> .	6) Other:	•				

Art Unit: 2152

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is responsive to communications filed on July 17, 2007.
 Claim 8 has been cancelled, thus claims 1-7 and 9-16 remain pending in the application.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 and 9-16 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1, 9 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krishnammorthy (US 7,054,923), in view of Kukkai (US 7,124,355).

Krishnammorthy discloses a method comprising:

in a portal, presenting a control level page in a first browser session (accessing navigation portal 402 via a browser 304; col. 6: lines 24-27); and

presenting an execution level page in a second browser session while maintaining the first browser session (e.g., navigating to the premium services page; col. 6: lines 29-42);

receiving work performed on the execution level page (invoking an application by clicking on respective link; col. 6: lines 42-44);

navigating to the control level page from the execution level page and navigating back to the execution level page (Figures 6-9);

Art Unit: 2152

Krishnammorthy also teaches a cross functional application to provide communication between at least one of an object modeling tool (web server), a process modeling tool (navigation page/portal), and a user interface tool (browser). See Figure 5.

Krishnammorthy discloses substantially all the claimed limitations, except the execution level page preserving the work performed before navigating to the control level page.

Kukkai teaches the execution level page preserving the work performed before navigating to the control level page (e.g., step 340, if the page is an internet application, persistency control logic 240 is enable and "locks" the application, thereby causing the application to persist; col. 9: lines 18-31).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Kukkai's method of persistent control an information browser in Krishnammorthy's system in order to provide a seamless integration of information browsing from multiple independent uncollaborated information sources, including running independent unrelated applications within the context of information browsing.

5. Claims 2-7 and 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krishnammorthy, in view of Kukkai, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Anuff et al (US 6,327,628), hereinafter Anuff.

Regarding claims 2 and 10, Krishnammorthy-Kukkai does not explicitly call for the control level page including messages and work triggers.

Anuff teaches the control level page including messages and work triggers (Figure 2; col. 3: line 58 – col. 4: line 5).

Art Unit: 2152

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Anuff's portal server in Krishnammorthy-Kukkai's system, in order to maintain an effective portal that allows users to gain access to resources at various network site.

Regarding claims 3 and 11, Krishnammorthy-Kukkai-Anuff also discloses the control level page includes trackable work objects (Anuff; Figure 2; col. 3: line 58 – col. 4: line 5).

Regarding claims 4 and 12, Krishnammorthy-Kukkai-Anuff also discloses the control level page includes links to services and objects in an execution level page (Krishnammorthy; col. 6: lines 19-56).

Regarding claims 5 and 13, Krishnammorthy-Kukkai-Anuff also discloses the services and objects correspond to a user's workset (Anuff, col. 4: lines 47-67).

Regarding claims 6 and 14, Krishnammorthy-Kukkai-Anuff also discloses the control level page includes a user's personal files and contacts (Anuff; Figure 2; col. 3: lines 52-57).

Regarding claims 7 and 15, Krishnammorthy-Kukkai-Anuff also discloses the control level page includes links to one or more workset areas (Krishnammorthy; col. 6: lines 19-56).

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 2152

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Van Kim T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3073. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on 571-272-3913. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent . Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Van Kim T. Nguyen

Examiner

Art Unit 2152

vkn

BUNJOB JAROENCHONWANIT

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER